

TO AZIM.

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
FILM AND TELEVISION INSTITUTE OF INDIA
LAW COLLEGE ROAD, PUNE – 411 004
Tel. 25433360 (O)

No.FTII/Reg/RTI/3/2020

Date : 11.03.2020

IN THE MATTER OF : I.D. NO.43

Shri K. Jagadeeswaran

Appellant

V/s

PIO/Administrative Officer
FTII, Pune

Respondent

O R D E R

Date of RTI Application	– 20.11.2019
Date of RTI Reply	- 31.01.2020
Date of RTI Appeal	- 18.02.2020

This order shall dispose of the appeal filed by Shri K. Jagdeeswaran in respect of I.D. No.43.

2. The appellant vide his RTI application dated 18.02.2020 stated that he has provided with incomplete reply and incomplete procedure by PIO/Administrative Officer on security procedures. Therefore the appellant had sought information on the following :-

- (i) With reference to above and reference FAA's Order on ID No.42 dated 23.01.2020, the appellant requested for file inspection which was not informed by the PIO/Admn. Officer.
- (ii) An incomplete reply was provided by PIO/Admn. Officer after the FAA's Order on ID No.42 dated 23.01.2020
- (iii) As per FAA's order, before appealing to Central Information Commission, New Delhi, the appellant may be allowed for file inspection as per his RTI Application dated 20.11.2019 submitted under RTI Act, 2005.
- (iv) Hence the appellant has requested to instruct PIO to allow him for file inspection

3. With reference to application dated 18.02.2020 by Shri K. Jagdeeswaran, appellant addressed to the First Appellate Authority, the appellant is hereby informed as follows :-


- (i) I have perused the RTI Application dated 20.11.2019 of the appellant, wherein he had asked for attested copies of documents. He had also made an appeal before the undersigned, which was disposed off under ID No.42 on 23.01.2020, directing the PIO/Admn. Officer to supply the requisite information with 5 days. In both the applications (i.e. RTI application and Appeal), the **appellant had not mentioned anything about "file inspection"**, that he has

brought out in his present appeal dated 18.02.2020, which cannot be entertained at this stage.

(ii) The appellant has mentioned that the PIO/Admn. Officer provided incomplete information to the appellant, whereas, the records show that PIO/Admn. Officer had provided seven (7) pages of documents to the appellant (acknowledged on 31.1.2020). The appellant has **not** mentioned as to how the supplied document/information is incomplete.

4. In view of the above, no action can be taken at this time.
5. Demand of inspection of file which was not indicated in the appellant's application dated 20.11.2019, cannot be entertained afresh at the stage of appeal.
6. In the above circumstances, the PIO/Admn. Officer cannot be directed, as desired by the appellant. The appeal is therefore disposed off.
7. Ordered accordingly.

If the Appellant is not satisfied with the information provided, he may file an appeal before Central Information Commission, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110 066 within the stipulated time.



(R.N. Pathak)
Registrar
First Appellate Authority

Encl. : As above

Shri K. Jagdeeswaran
Assistant Professor Cinematography
FTII
Pune – 411 004

Copy to :

1. PIO/Administrative Officer, FTII, Pune
2. In-charge Multi Media, FTII with a request to upload the order on the FTII website.


(R.N. Pathak)
Registrar
First Appellate Authority