OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY FILM AND TELEVISION INSTITUTE OF INDIA LAW COLLEGE ROAD, PUNE - 411 004 Tel. 25433360 (O)

No.FTII/RTI/FAA/4/2019

Date: 26.04.2019

IN THE MATTER OF: I.D. NO.21

Shri K. Jagadeeswaran

Appellant

V/s

PIO/Administrative Officer

Respondent

FTII, Pune

ORDER

Date of RTI Application - 30.01.2019
Date of First RTI Reply - 26.02.2019
Date of RTI Appeal - 27.03.2019
Date of Hearing - 26.04.2019

This order shall dispose of the appeal filed by Shri K. Jagadeeswaran in respect of ID No.21.

- 1. Present Shri K. Jagadeeswaran, Appellant on 26.04.2019
- 2. Present Shri S.K. Dekate, PIO/ Administrative Officer
- 3. All present heard.
- 4. The appellant in his appeal dated 27-03-2019 has contended that he received a letter only after 49 days of filing the RTI application from the PIO i.e. on 19.03.2019. He has further claimed that he was eligible for exemption from payment of photocopy charges due to alleged delayed reply by the PIO.

The PIO stated that it had been communicated to the appellant on 26-02-2019 i.e. within the 30 day period that he can inspect the relevant files. However, the appellant himself had given in writing that



he was on leave and therefore requested for fixing of another date for inspection. (Copy enclosed)

The PIO had indeed communicated to the appellant on 26-2-2019 as is made out from the enclosed communication. The **appellant has suppressed this fact while filing the appeal**. However, during the hearing he admitted that he had indeed received a letter from PIO on 26-2-2019.

Further, the appellant on his own had requested for fixing of 5th March as date of inspection. The actual inspection could only take place on 8th March, 2019. If the information was urgently required, the appellant could have requested for inspection of files on 27th February, 2019 itself. Instead, on 27th February, he wrote to the PIO for fixing up 5th March as the date of inspection.

No case is therefore made out against the PIO for not providing information in time.

The appellant should deposit the requisite charges and obtain information. The PIO should facilitate providing the information **today itself after requisite charges are deposited by the appellant**. Suppression of facts leads to misrepresentation & misuse of law. This should not be resorted to by the appellant.

The appeal is therefore disposed of.

If you are not satisfied with the information provided, you may file an appeal before Central Information Commission, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110 066 within the stipulated time.

(Varun Bhardwaj)
Registrar
First Appellate Authority

Shri K. Jagadeeswaran Pune - 411 004

Copy to:

1. CPIO/Administrative Officer, FTII, Pune

Incharge Multi Media, FTII with a request to upload the order on the FTII website.

(Varun Bhardwaj)

Registrar First Appellate Authority